

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

OCT 2 0_2000

October 19, 2000

Intervenor:

David A. Lundgreen

1. Expert witness:

2301 E. Millbrae Dr.

Gilbert, AZ 85296

A. Dale H. Borger

200 001 20 P 4: 06 docke

Civil Engineer--30 years experience in power generation construction and maintenance

Testimony Aspects:

- 2. A. Mismanagement on account of SRP which has led to the supposed critical power problem in the state of Arizona.
 - Historical information and management of the Palo Verde Plant and Coronado Plant.
 - If need for power is so critical, why was SRP caught with its pants down?
 - B. The gas issue and the proposed new 18" line.
 - Gas Pipeline Accidents are on the rise B.1.
 - Federal management of pipelines has gone down while accidents are B.2. increasing
 - Constructing a 12-mile long, 18", gas pipeline from Price Road down to B.3. Val Vista has a lot of inherent risks both during construction as well as after, over the long term. Right now we can prevent setting up this accident.
 - Not prudent to run a new line of this size through the heart of existing Tempe and Gilbert communities
 - C. The water requirements and associated issues of supply.
 - Canal closure for 6 weeks each year
- 3. A. Decisions made by SRP over the years that show a pattern of mismanagement and incompetence.
 - Accident at Kyrene, some 3 years ago the result of poor maintenance A.1.
 - Palo Verde Plant sell off of ownership by SRP A.2.
 - Coronado Plant expansion and then halting the expansion A.3.
 - B. The Gilbert community and the Arizona Corporation Commission were both told that all the infrastructure necessary was in place at the existing San Tan site to expand the generating facility. Only in submitting the Application for a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility did SRP mention that the needed natural gas supply did not exist. I will talk regarding this issue and associated legitimate concerns and community risks of constructing and then forever having an 18" pipeline going right through the heart of Tempe and Gilbert.
 - C. This is self explanatory based on required water utilization and treatment by the proposed new plant and not having a sufficient water supply that would not in some way directly impact Gilbert's groundwater supply.
 - C.1. SRP wells tap into Gilbert's groundwater
 - Groundwater Management Code C.2.
 - C.3. Non Per Capita Conservation program (AZ Dept of Water Resources)

- C.4. Irrigating with spent water exiting from the plant
- C.5. Agreements with Gilbert to use unused surface water
- C.6. Town of Gilbert water usage per day per person
- 4. Issues of building a plant of this size in a bedroom community like Gilbert
 - A. No noise ordinance for construction exists in Gilbert
 - B. Construction will occur essentially night and day for 2 years
 - C. 500 1000 people will come in each day to work on the plant. Where will they park their cars?
 - D. Traffic issues
 - E. Issue of having all these construction workers around our community reduces our safety, especially our children's safety

Exhibits:

- #1 Listing of existing Plants
- #2 Proposed Power Plants
- #3 Overhead view of existing San Tan Site
- #4 Bureau of Reclamation Colorado River Hydro Basin Projects
- #5 Completed generator uprates for power uprating program (1978-2000)

Exhibits to be Supplemented Later when received:

- #6 Discontinued Coronado plant expansion
- #7 Ownership reduction at Palo Verde Plant
- #8 Chemicals and the water
- #9 Generation capacity and in-state usage

Gen, & Capicity in Man le Verde Newlor Ger. Station 4900 MW 3x 1,300 Navajo Gen 2500 MW 3× 750 1990) 340 (2490 660) Four Corners 2 x 745 2 x 170 3 x 220 365 3760 Ceronado ben 1 × 365 المسالة مرابعات 395 > 1 x 395 Aque Friz " 600 Craig 3 X 420 1260 Kyrtne Power 250 Genton " 300 Joseph City " ウグロ University & Ruel " 400 14,710 MW Springeville 750 750 15,460

STATUS OF PROPOSED POWER PLANTS

			11,740 MW	TOTAL MW			
unt filed wet	8/02-03	9/00-01	1,000 MW	next to PV satellite	Sempra Energy Resources	Mesquite	13.
not filed yet	12/2002	10/2000	500 MW	near Wikieup	Caithness	Big Sandy	12.
LSC hearing: March 30		12/2000	2,080 MW	3 m. NE of Gila Bend	Panda Energy Int.	Gila River Plant	=
		12/2001	750 MW	6 m. NW of Gila Bend	Power Dev. Ent., Ind. Power	Gila Bend Gen. Stn.	10.
LSC approval: 3/15		12/2000	1,040 MW	W. of Wintersberg	PG&E Energy Services	Harquahala	9
LSC hearing cont.: 5/5		2001	580 MW	15 m. SW of Buckeye	Duke Energy	Arlington Valley	œ
Approved		Fall 2000	2,120 MW	Im. S. of Palo Verde	Pinnacle West	Red Hawk	7.
Approved			500 MW	Phoenix	Pinnacle West, Calpine	43 rd Ave.	6.
not filed yet			825 MW	Gilbert	SRP	Santan	ò
not filed yet			WW SER	Tempe	SRP, Dynergy,	Kyrene	4.
?? under construction ??			500 MW	28 m. S. of Bullhead C.	Calpine	South Point	မှ
Plant approved; awaiting trans. line appr.	·		500 MW	Casa Grande	Reliant Energy	Desert Basin	2.
Under construction			520 MW	8 mi. S. of Kingman	Duke Energy No./Griffith	Griffith Energy Proj.	, june
Status	Plant Operat.	Start Constr.	Size (MW)	Location	<u>Developer</u>	Plant name	No.

DRAFT

Table 1. Completed generator uprates for Power Uprating Program (1978-2000).

Plant	Units	Old Rating (kW)	New Rating (kW)	Percent Increase	Added kW (Plant)	Year Uprate Completed
Shasta	2	75,000	125,000	66.7	100,000	1980
Anderson Ranch	2	13,500	20,000	48.1	13,000	1983
Flatiron	2	31,500	43,020	36.6	23,040	1983
Glendo	N	12,000	19,000	58.3	14,000	1983
Trinity	2	50,000	70,000	40	40,000	1984
Glen Canyon	44	118,750	165,000	38.9	185,000	1987
Glen Canyon	42	118,750	157,050	32.2	153,200	1987
Blue Mesa	2	30,000	43,200	44	26,400	1988
Fremont Canyon	2	24,000	33,400	39.2	18,800	1989
Hoover	2	82,500	127,000	53.9	89,000	1989
Keswick	ω	25,000	39,000	56	42,000	1991
Flaming Gorge	ω	36,000	50,495	40.3	43,485	1992
Hoover	12	82,500	130,000	57.6	570,000	1992
Hoover	Н	109,250	130,000	19	20,750	1992
Hoover	ш	40,000	61,500	53.7	21,500	1992
Hoover	ш	50,000	68,500	37	18,500	1992
Morrow Point	N	60,000	86,667	44.4	53,334	1993
Hungry Horse	4	71,250	107,000	50.2	143,000	1993
Palisades	2	28,500	44,141	54.9	31,282	1994



Hydroelectric Powerplants for which the Bureau of Reclamation has Operating Responsibility Fiscal Year 1999

					,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
					INITIAL		INSTALLED	
11 11			STATE		DATE IN	OF	CAPACITY	GROSS
REGION	PROJECT	PLANT	LOCATION	RIVER	SERVICE	UNITS	(KW)	GENERATION
							` ′	(kWh)
ļ					10.50		40,000	100 040 000
PN	BOISE	ANDERSON RANCH	IDAHO	SO. FORK, BOISE	12-50	2	40,000	183,910,000
		BLACK CANYON		PAYETTE	12-25	2	10,200	71,850,000
11 11		BOISE RIVER DIV.	IDAHO	BOISE	5-12	3	1,500	0
1 1	COLUMBIA	GRAND COULEE	WASHINGTON	COLUMBIA	3-41	33	6,809,000	
11 1	BASIN	HUNGRY HORSE	MONTANA	SO.FORK, FLATHEAD	10-52	4	428,000	902,601,000
		MINIDOKA	IDAHO	SNAKE	5-09	4	27,700	202,947,000
13 11	HORSE	PALISADES	IDAHO	SO. FORK, SNAKE	2-57	4	176,564	857,807,000
	MINIDOKA	GREEN SPRINGS	OREGON	TRANS. MTN. DIV.	5-60	1 1	17,290	80,080,000
	MINAIDOICY	CHANDLER	WASHINGTON		2-56	2	12,000	
11 11	DALICADEC		WASHINGTON		8-58	1	12,937	57,109,000
1 1	PALISADES	ROZA	AAVOUING LOW	TANINA	0-30	1 1	12,337	73,229,500
1 1	ROGUE RIVER							
4 1	BASIN		1				i	
	YAKIMA							
TOTAL						56	7,535,191	26,410,112,875
MP	CENTRAL	JUDGE F. CARR	CALIFORNIA	TUNNEL, LEWISTON	5-63	2	154,400	564,753,000
	VALLEY	FOLSOM	CALIFORNIA	AMERICAN	6-55	3	198,720	729,279,000
4 1		KESWICK	CALIFORNIA	SACRAMENTO	10-49	3	117,000	519,827,700
11 1		NEW MELONES	CALIFORNIA	STANISLAUS	6-79	2	300,000	731,077,000
ll li		NIMBUS	CALIFORNIA	AMERICAN	5-55	2	13,500	
1 1		O'NEILL	CALIFORNIA	SAN LUIS CREEK	11-67	6	25,200	11 ' '
1 1			CALIFORNIA	SAN LUIS CREEK	3-68	8	*202,000	(1)
1	}	SAN LUIS		1 -	6-44	7	587,662	
8 i		SHASTA	CALIFORNIA	SACRAMENTO	1-64		180,000	
11 1		SPRING CREEK	CALIFORNIA	TUNNEL, CLEAR CRK	LI .	2		
1 1		TRINITY	CALIFORNIA	TRINITY	2-64	2	140,000	
11 1		LEWISTON	CALIFORNIA	TRINITY	2-64	1	350	
1 1	i	STAMPEDE	CALIFORNIA	LITTLE TRUCKEE	9-87	2	3,650	15,399,880
	WASHOE				<u></u>			
TOTAL						40	1,922,482	6,524,936,180
LC	BOULDER	HOOVER	ARIZ/NEV	COLORADO	9-36	19	2,078,800	5.526.900.000
1	CANYON	DAVIS	ARIZONA	COLORADO	1-51	5	240,000	
11 1	PARKER-DAVIS	PARKER	CALIFORNIA	COLORADO	12-42	4	120,000	11
	PARKER-DAVIS	PARKER	CALIFORNIA	COLORADO	12-42			
TOTAL						28	2,438,800	7,507,608,000
UC	COLBRAN	LOWER MOLINA	COLORADO	PIPELINE	12-62	1	4,860	
		UPPER MOLINA	COLORADO	PIPELINE	12-62	1	8,640	30,108,800
	COLO, RIVER	BLUE MESA	COLORADO	GUNNISON	9-67	2	86,400	
	STORAGE	CRYSTAL	COLORADO	GUNNISON	6-78	1	28,000	
y I	GIORAGE	FLAMING GORGE	UTAH	GREEN	11-63	3	151,950	
1 1			4 - · · · · · · ·	COLORADO	9-64	8	1,296,000	11
		GLEN CANYON	ARIZONA		12-70	2	173,334	
	L	MORROW POINT	COLORADO	GUNNISON		1 5	4,950	11 ' '
	PROVO RIVER	DEER CREEK	UTAH	PROVO	2-58	2	,	18
# 1	RIO GRANDE	ELEPHANT BUTTE	NEW MEXICO	RIO GRANDE	11-40	3	27,945	
1	SEEDSKADEE	FONTENELLE	WYOMING	GREEN	5-68	1	10,000	
	DOLORES	MCPHEE	COLORADO	DOLORES	12-92	1	1,283	
		TOWACC	COLORADO	TOWAOC CANAL	5-93	1	11,495	18,666,405
TOTAL						26	1,804,857	7,385,242,399
<u> </u>	l					ــــــالـ	Я	(L